# Forecasting and Policy Analysis with Trend-Cycle BVARs

Michal Andrle (IMF) Jan Brůha (CNB)

European Central Bank, July 13, 2017 Frankfurt am Main

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

### Disclaimer:

### The views expressed herein are those of the authors and should not be attributed to the International Monetary Fund, its Executive Board, or its management.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Trend-Cycle VARs

TC-VARs model components of the time series:

$$Y_t = Y_t^T + Y_t^C + Y_t^E.$$
(1)

 $\begin{array}{l} Y_t^T - \text{carefully specified low frequency dynamics, trends, } \dots \\ Y_t^C - \text{cyclical dynamics, business cycle, } \dots \\ Y_t^E - \text{high-frequency dynamics, measurement errors, } \dots \end{array}$ 

 $Y_t^C$  is specified as a zero-mean VAR(k) model with appropriate transformation of variables and coefficients restrictions.

# Why Trend-Cycle VARs?

- Economic theory: trends and cycles are dominated by different shocks and transmission channels. Trends are more complex...
- Well-specified steady-state levels or growth rates of the macro variables, often time-varying and known
- More flexibility in variable transformations
- If the reduced-form VAR is 'messed up', no structural-shock identification wizardry will save the SVAR

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Details in Andrle and Bruha (2014)

### Example: Poland vs. Euro Area



JAC.

# Examples (I.)

- For IT country inflation must be modeled, not the price level!
- With inflation, the steady state should coincide with the inflation target (need not to happen without a restriction). For a constant target, just subtract it from the inflation series...
- With a time-varying explicit target, an explicit acknowledging of the target time variation is crucial.
- The target needs to be acknowledged also in the trend nominal interest rate or risk of serious misspecification (price puzzles, etc.)
- Potential output vs. output gap and the link to inflation deviation from the long-term inflation expectations
- Steady-state growth of output is not constant in many economies and will converge to more developed countries gradually (convergence)
- A model with the level of policy rates with GDP growth rate will almost surely lead to permanent output change after transitory change of policy rates, etc.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Trends in real exchange rates (HBS effect, etc.)

# Examples (II.)

- In labor market models, Okun's law usually stable, trend in labor-force participation, NAIRU concept, etc.
- International trade 'trend' openness driven by tariff changes, trade unions, EU entry, WTO policy, technology...
- In models with multiple countries, different path of inflation targets, trend GDP dynamics, or real exchange rate trends are an issue
- In emerging and developing countries rapid development in trend growth rates, great ratios, trends in relative prices, in the exchange rate, disinflation...but cyclical dynamics better behaved
- Past growth rates are often poor indicators of future growth rates in the medium term, so a constant steady state won't do [needs to be a trend process]

Trend-Cycle models (structural or VAR) implemented successfully for Poland, Indonesia, Philippines, Kenya, Uganda, South Africa, Georgia, Armenia ...

Are Trends and Cycles Independent?

### NO! They are not. They are intrinsically linked.

Yet, oftentimes modeling and forecasting trends and cycles separately is a good approximation.

To model low-frequencies 'properly' (wealth effects, etc.), it is the structural/DSGE models that are better equipped to handle it than VARs.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Ironically, DSGE models are often ad-hoc de-trended while VARs are not...

## **TC-BVAR Estimation**

### + Joint estimation of the parameters and states

### + 'Standard' priors for the BVAR and trends

(marginal-independent priors, experiments with B-Lasso/Elastic Net)

#### + System priors for the whole model

- stationarity of the VAR component
- penalty for excessively slow convergence
- variance of the cyclical component mostly at BC freqs
- filter frequency-transfer fun properties
- ..
- 'spriors' for shock identification [Andrle, Plasil 2017]

#### + Bayesian computations:

- (a) Posterior-mode search with a homotopy, followed by RWM, or
- (b) Sequential MC as in Herbst and Schorfheide (2014) [parallel]

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ● のへで

### Links to the Literature

### Builds on:

- 'structural time-series models' (Harvey, 1989) and
- 'Quarterly Projection Model' (QPM) of Laxton et al (2001) with trend-cycle models.

#### Previous work using TC-[B]VARs:

Bruha, Pierluigi, Serafini (ECB, 2011) – labor market model Andrle, Ho, Garcia-Saltos (IMF, 2013) – MP VAR for Poland Andrle, Bruha (2014) – Learning about MP Using VARs: Some Issues and Solutions

#### The use of system priors:

Andrle, Benes (2013) [DSGE models], Andrle, Plasil (2016) [tseries, VARs]

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

### **U.S. Model** Specification and Results

# Simple TC-BVAR (a): The Model

[A] Aggregation:

$$y_t = \bar{y}_t + \hat{y}_t + u_{y,t} \tag{2}$$

$$\pi_t = \bar{\pi}_t + \hat{\pi}_t + u_{\pi,t} \tag{3}$$

$$i_t = \max[\hat{i}_t + \bar{i}_t, i_{\text{floor},t}] + u_{i,t}$$
(4)

#### [B] Cyclical Dynamics:

$$\mathbf{A0}\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t}\\ \hat{\pi}_{t}\\ \hat{l}_{t} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{A}_{1}\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-1}\\ \hat{\pi}_{t-1}\\ \hat{l}_{t-1} \end{bmatrix} + \dots + \mathbf{A}_{k}\begin{bmatrix} \hat{y}_{t-k}\\ \hat{\pi}_{t-k}\\ \hat{l}_{t-k} \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{C}\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{y},t}\\ \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{\pi},t}\\ \boldsymbol{e}_{\hat{j},t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

[C] Trend Component:

$$\bar{y}_t = \bar{y}_{t-1} + g_t/4 + u_{\bar{y},t}$$
 (6)

$$g_t = \rho_g g_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_g) g_{ss} + u_{g,t}$$
(7)

$$\bar{\pi}_t = \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + u_{\bar{\pi},t}$$
 and  $E[\pi_{t+j|t}] = \bar{\pi}_t \text{ for } j \to \infty$  (8)

$$\overline{i}_t = \overline{r}_t + \overline{\pi}_t \tag{9}$$

$$\overline{r}_t = \rho_{\overline{r}} \overline{r}_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_{\overline{r}}) \overline{r}_{ss} + u_{\overline{r}}$$
(10)

$$i_{t|t}^{N} = (1/N) \sum_{i=0}^{N} i_{t+i|t}$$
 for  $N = 4, 20, 40.$  (11)

# Simple TC-BVAR (b): Recursive Forecasts



## Simple TC-BVAR (c): Trend and Cycles



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 「臣」のへ(?)

# Simple TC-BVAR (d): Inflation Decomposition



\_\_\_\_\_\_\_୍ ୬ < ( ୍

## Simple TC-BVAR (e): Yield Curve



A D > A P > A D > A D >

3

# Simple TC-BVAR (f): Quasi Real-Time Output Cycle



• • • • • • • • •

## Conclusion

TC-VARs offer a great alternative for forecasting and analysis

- Flexible and easy to use
- Separates business cycle and trends when appropriate
- Well-defined long and medium term dynamics
- Less restrictive on data transformation for the VAR
- Competitive forecasting performance
- Forecasting with expert judgment and satellite models on trends going forward is simple

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Thank you for your patience...